# WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE 

## COMMON IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

## WFD: WHY A COMMON IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY?

- Challenges widely shared by the Member States
- Demanding timetable
- Complexity of the text
- Diversity of possible solutions to scientific, technical and practical questions
- Ensure efficiency,transparency and...comparability


## WFD C.I.S. OBJECTIVES

- Coherence and comparability
- Common understanding and approach
- Joint efforts and activities
- Limit risks of bad application
- Share experience and information
- Develop guidance
- Improve the information management


## General Organization 2001-2002



## C.I.S. ACTIVITIES -TECHNICAL ISSUES.

- Analysis pressure and impact
- Heavily modified waters
- REFCOND rivers and lakes
- Reference conditions coastal water
- Intercalibration
- Economic analysis
- Monitoring
- Assessment and classification of groundwater
- Best practice in River Basin Planning
- GIS


## C.I.S. FIRST RESULTS

WG 2.8 : Report on Statistical aspects of the identification of the Groundwater Pollution,

WG 2.6 : Guidance document on Water and Economics,
WG 2.9 : WP1 - Document on Identification RBD,
WG 2.2 : Synthesis report on Case Studies - Identification and Designation of HMWB,

WG 4.1 : Establishment of a Pilot River Basin Network,
General outline for all Guidance Documents - common text.

## C.I.S. FIRST RESULTS (2)

WG 2.1 : Guidance document on Analysis of pressures and impacts,

WG 2.2: Guidance document on HMWB,
WG 2.4 : Guidance document on Transitional and coastal waters,

WG 2.5 : Guidance document on Intercalibration,
WG 2.7 : Guidance document on Monitoring,
WG 2.9 : Guidance document on Public participation,
WG 3.1 : Guidance document on GIS,

## C.I.S FIRST RESULTS (3)

WG 4.1 : Establishment the Terms of Reference and working schedule,
WG 2.3 and WG 2.9: Draft version of Guidances on Reference conditions for inland surface waters and on Planning process, Revision of common text for all Guidance Documents
-reference to wetlands and floods,
Guidance document on Water Bodies,
Presentation of the follow-up of the CIS for 2003 / 2004.

## Common Implementation Strategy

## 2003/2004

## New Working Groups

$\Rightarrow$ Working Group on "Ecological Status" ( WG 2A)
$\Rightarrow$ Working Group on "Integrated River Basin Management" (WG 2B)
$\Rightarrow$ Working Group on "Groundwater" (WG 2C) (after last meeting of EAF Groundwater)
$\Rightarrow$ EAF Reporting (WG 2D)

## Attribution of existing WGs

## Expert Advisory Forum

1) Priority Substances
2) Groundwater

Chair: Commission

Water Directors<br>Steering of implementation process<br>Chair: Presidency, Co-chair: Commission<br>\section*{Strategic Co-ordination group<br><br>Co-ordination of work programme<br><br>Chair: Commission}



Stakeholders, NGO's, Researchers, Experts, etc.

## New C.I.S. organisation

Expert Advisory Forum

1) Priority Substances
2) Groundwater (mid-2003)

Chair: Commission

## Water Directors

Steering of implementation process
Chair: Presidency, Co-chair: Commission

Strategic Co-ordination group
Co-ordination of work programme Chair: Commission

Working Group 2.A
"Ecological Status"
Lead: ??? Co-lead: ???

Working Group 2.B "Integrated River Basin Management" Lead: ??? Co-lead: ???

Working Group 2.C
"Groundwater" (after end of EAF GW)
Lead: ??? Co-lead: ???

Art. 21 Committee

Working Group 2.D
"EAF Reporting"

Stakeholders, NGO's, Researchers, Experts, etc.

# C.I.S. 2003-2004 Key working areas 

$\Rightarrow$ Pilot river testing (2003/2004)
$\Rightarrow$ Intercalibration (2003-2006)
$\Rightarrow$ New issues for guidance (see table)
$\Rightarrow$ Maintaining network (ongoing)
$\Rightarrow$ Manual for Integrated RBM (2004/2005)

## Proposed priority issues (1)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{N} \\
\& \mathbf{O}
\end{aligned}
\] \& Issue \& Working Group \& Timeframe \\
\hline 1 \& Management of wetlands in the context of the WFD \& WG 2.B - IRBM \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Start:Nov 02 \\
End:May 03
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2 \& Classification of ecological status including the use of physicochemical and hydromorphological parameters \& WG 2.A Ecological Status \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Start:Dec 02 \\
End: Oct 03
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 \& Harmonisation of typology (in particular for transitional and coastal waters) \& WG 2.A Ecological Status \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Start: Feb 03 \\
End: Nov. 03
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4 \& Assessment of eutrophication in the context of different European directives \& WG 2.A Ecological Status \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Start: May 03 \\
End: May 04
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5 \& Integration of economic issues in new guidance documents (baseline scenario, scale) \& WG 2.B - IRBM

-nov 2003 \& | Start: Feb. 03 |
| :--- |
| End: May 04 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## Proposed priority issues (2)

| N | Issue | Working Group | Timeframe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Addressing of economical <br> methodological aspects (such as <br> the assessment of environmental <br> costs or the assessment of the <br> incentive dimension of pricing) | WG 2.B - IRBM <br> (drafting group to <br> be established as <br> follow-up of <br> WATECO) | Start: Feb. 03 |
| 7 | Preparation of river basin <br> management plans and <br> programmes of measures <br> including the integration of <br> different river basin management <br> tasks | WG 2.B - IRBM <br> (link to EAF <br> Reporting) | Start: Sept.03 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Guidelines for reporting under the <br> WFD | EAF Reporting <br> (for preparation <br> of Art. 21 <br> Committee) | End: Dec 04 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Characterisation and monitoring <br> of groundwaters | EAF GW and after <br> termination of <br> EAF, new W G 2.C <br> Groundwater | Start: March <br> 03 |

## Working Group 2.A "Ecological Status"

Core activity:
$\Rightarrow$ intercalibration
Other activities:
$\Rightarrow$ Initiatives on harmonisation of typology
$\Rightarrow$ Guidance on classification
$\Rightarrow$ Guidance on assessment criteria for eutrophication
Number of drafting groups: 2-3

## Working Group 2.B "Integrated River Basin Management"

Core activity:
$\Rightarrow$ pilot river testing and economics
Other activities:
$\Rightarrow$ Guidance on wetlands
$\Rightarrow$ Guidance on preparation of river basin management plans including programme of measures
$\Rightarrow$ Guidance on flood protection (best practices)
Number of drafting groups: 3-4

## Selection of Pilot River Basins

## Proposals endorsed by the Water Directors

$>B_{r}$ F, NL (Scheldt),
$>$ D, F, Lux (MoselleSarre)
> France (Marne)
> Ireland (Shannon)
> Denmark (Odense)
> Finland (Oulujoki)
> Norway
(Sudalsvassdraget)
> Portugal (Guadiana)
> Spain (Júcar)
> Greece (Pinios)
> Italy (Tevere, Cecina)
$>$ H, RO (Somos)
$>C Z, D, P L$ (Neisse)

> UK (Ribble)



## Outcomes

> Real life testing in the PRBs delivers a better feedback on applying the GDs in practice than a "virtual" way of testing. In other words: implement the Art. 5 obligations and use these experiences as a test of the GDs.

Experience Reports - On questions (gaps) linked to the testing and on specific issues of cross cutting nature (e.g. intercalibration, groundwater)

Outcome Report - Final results by each PRB from which a synthesis report covering all GD testing will be derived (scope for a Drafting Group)

A specific product to be accomplished by the end of 2003, being a living document to assist the implementation of the Art. 5 obligations.
Help Desk or an equivalent?

